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#### Abstract

We study the angular domains of convergence of branched continued fractions of a special form with complex partial denominators. By using the sufficient criterion of convergence of these fractions with positive elements and the Stieltjes-Vitali theorem, we establish a many-dimensional analog of the van Vleck convergence criterion for continued fractions, as well as some other sufficient criteria of convergence under certain additional conditions imposed on the elements of fractions. The estimates of the rate of convergence for branched continued fractions of special form are obtained in these angular domains.


In the analytic theory of continued fractions, significant attention is given to the problem of convergence. Numerous criteria of convergence are formulated as the theorems on the sets of convergence. Thus, the researchers consider circular, oval, parabolic, angular, and other domains of convergence. As one of the classical criteria of convergence of continued fractions with partial numerators equal to one is the van Vleck criterion of convergence [7,15-17] corresponding to the convergence of continued fractions just in the angular domains.

This theorem was generalized in [13], where various estimates for the rate of convergence of the convergents were obtained. In particular, the following assertion was proved:

Theorem 1. Assume that the elements of a continued fraction

$$
b_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_{i}}
$$

satisfy the conditions

$$
b_{i} \neq 0, \quad\left|\arg b_{i}\right|<\theta, \quad \theta<\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots .
$$

Then
$\left(1^{\circ}\right)$ there exist the finite limits of even and odd convergents;
$\left(2^{\circ}\right)$ the sequence of convergents $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ converges iff the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{i}\right|$ diverges;
$\left(3^{\circ}\right)$ the following estimate is true:

$$
\left|f_{m}-f_{n-1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{d_{n}}, \quad m \geq n,
$$
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where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{n} \geq \frac{\Re\left(b_{1}\right)}{2+\Re\left(b_{1}\right)} \cos \theta \ln \left(1+\left(\Re\left(b_{1}\right)\right)^{2} \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{\left|b_{1}\right|^{2}}\right\} \cos \theta \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|b_{i}\right|\right), \quad n \geq 1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A many-dimensional analog of the van Vleck theorem for branched continued fractions (BCF) with $N$ branches was proved in [4]. A two-dimensional generalization of this theorem under other conditions imposed on the divergence of series formed by elements of a two-dimensional continued fraction was proposed in work [6]. The estimate of the rate of convergence of these fractions in the angular domain was established in [10].

In what follows, we study BCF of a special form

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{i_{k-1}} \frac{1}{b_{i(k)}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{0}, b_{i(k)}$ are complex numbers, $i(k) \in I$,

$$
I=\left\{i(k)=i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}: 1 \leq i_{k} \leq i_{k-1} \leq \ldots \leq i_{0}, k \geq 1, i_{0}=N\right\}
$$

and the value of $i_{0}$ determines the dimension of the fraction. For fractions of this kind, we establish a manydimensional generalization of the van Vleck theorem and estimate the rates of convergence in angular domains.

The BCF of a special form were studied in numerous works including, in particular $[1,2,3,5,8,9,11$, $12,14]$, where the authors established analogs of the criteria of convergence of continued fractions proposed by Worpitzky, Pringsheim, and Leighton and Wall, parabolic theorems, etc.

In this case, the researchers extensively used the sufficient criterion of convergence of the BCF of special form with positive elements proposed in [11]:

Theorem 2. The BCF (2) with positive elements converges if the series

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} b_{m[p]}, \quad m=1, \ldots, N, \\
\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} b_{i(n) m[p]}, \quad m=1, \ldots, N, \quad i(n) \in I^{(m+1)},
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
I^{(m)}=\left\{i(n)=i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{n}: m \leq i_{n} \leq i_{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq i_{0}, n \geq 1, i_{0}=N\right\},  \tag{3}\\
m=2, \ldots, N, \quad m[p]=\underbrace{m m \ldots m}_{p},
\end{gather*}
$$

are divergent.

By using the technique of sets of elements and sets of values, the Stieltjes-Vitali theorem [7, Theorem 4.30], and a method proposed in [6], we prove the following many-dimensional analog of the van Vleck theorem:

Theorem 3. Assume that the partial denominators of the BCF (2) belong to the domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\varepsilon)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: z \neq 0,|\arg z|<\frac{\pi}{2}-\varepsilon\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is any positive number, $0<\varepsilon<\frac{\pi}{2}$.
Then
( $1^{\circ}$ ) every nth approximation $f_{n}$ of the BCF (2) belongs to domain (4);
(2 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) there exist finite limits of even and odd convergents;
(3 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) the BCF (2) converges if the series

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{m[p]}\right|, \quad m=1, \ldots, N \\
\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{i(n) m[p]}\right|, \quad m=1, \ldots, N-1, \quad i(n) \in I^{(m+1)}, \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

are divergent.

Proof. In view of the convexity and symmetry of domain (4) and the properties of a linear-fractional mapping, it is easy to set that $f_{n} \in G(\varepsilon) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We now consider a functional BCF

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{i_{k-1}} \frac{1}{b_{i(k)}(z)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
b_{i(k)}(z)=\left|b_{i(k)}\right| e^{\left.i \arg b_{i(k)}\right)^{z}}
$$

If $z \in D(\varepsilon)$, where

$$
D(\varepsilon)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\Re(z)|<1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi-2 \varepsilon},|\mathfrak{J}(z)|<1\right\}
$$

then

$$
b_{i(k)}(z) \in G\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)
$$

For a sequence of holomorphic functions $\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\}$, where $f_{n}(z)$ is the $n$th convergent of the BCF (6), $n \geq 1$, the conditions of the Stieltjes-Vitali theorem with $\Delta=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\mathfrak{R}(z)|=0,|\mathfrak{I}(z)|<1\}$, are satisfied in the domain $D(\varepsilon)$.

Let $z \in \Delta$. Then the BCF (6) can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{i_{k-1}} \frac{1}{\tilde{b}_{i(k)}}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{b}_{i(k)}=\left|b_{i(k)}\right| e^{-\arg b_{i(k)} \mathfrak{\Im}(z)}
$$

The "fork" property for the BCF of special form with positive elements (7) implies that its even and odd convergents have limits. Therefore, by the Stieltjes-Vitali theorem, there exist finite limits of even and odd convergents of the BCF (2).

The fact that series (5) are divergent implies that the series

$$
\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \tilde{b}_{m[p]}, \quad m=1, \ldots, N, \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \tilde{b}_{i(n) m[p]}, \quad m=1, \ldots, N-1, i(n) \in I^{(m+1)},
$$

are also divergent. It follows from Theorem 2 that the BCF (7) is convergent. This means that the sequence of holomorphic functions $\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\}$ converges for $z \in \Delta$. It is clear that $\Delta \subset D(\varepsilon)$. Hence, by using the StieltjesVitali theorem with, e.g., $a=-1$ and $b=-2$, we can conclude that the BCF (6) converges on any compact set $D(\varepsilon)$ and, in particular, on the set $\{1\}$, i.e., for $z=1$. Thus, the BCF (2) is convergent.

The theorem is proved.
The next theorem enables us to estimate the rate of convergence of the BCF of special form with partial numerators equal to one and partial denominators that are complex numbers lying in a certain angular domain.

Theorem 4. Suppose that the elements of the BCF (2) satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{i(k)}\right)>0, \quad\left|\arg b_{i(k)}\right|<\theta, \quad \theta<\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad i(k) \in I, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the infinite product

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\cos \theta}\left(1-v_{k}\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{k}=\min \left\{\frac{\Re\left(b_{i(k)}\right)}{\left|b_{i(k)}\right|+\sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{i_{k}} \frac{1}{\Re\left(b_{i(k+1)}\right)}}: i(k) \in I_{k}\right\}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
I_{k}=\left\{i(k)=i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}: 1 \leq i_{k} \leq i_{k-1} \leq \ldots \leq i_{0}, i_{0}=N\right\}, \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots,
$$

diverges to zero. Then the BCF (2) converges, and the following estimate of the rate of convergence is true:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|f_{n}-f_{p}\right| \leq \frac{2 N}{\min \left(\Re\left(b_{i_{1}}\right): i_{1}=1,2, \ldots, N\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\cos \theta}\right)^{2 s} \prod_{k=1}^{2 s}\left(1-v_{k}\right), \\
n>p, \quad s=\left[\frac{p}{2}\right] . \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. In view of condition (8) and the properties of linear-fractional mappings, it is easy to see that, for the remainders of the $n$th convergents of BCF (2)

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{i(n)}^{(n)}=b_{i(n)}, \quad n \geq 1, \\
Q_{i(k)}^{(n)}=b_{i(k)}+\sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{i_{k}} \frac{1}{Q_{i(k+1)}^{(n)}}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n-1, \quad n \geq 2,
\end{gathered}
$$

the following estimate is true:

$$
\left|\arg Q_{i(k)}^{(n)}\right|<\theta, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q_{i(k)}^{(n)}\right| \geq \mathfrak{R}\left(Q_{i(k)}^{(n)}\right) \geq \mathfrak{R}\left(b_{i(k)}\right)+\sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{i_{k}} \frac{\cos \theta}{\left|Q_{i(k+1)}^{(n)}\right|}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n-1, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the absolute value of the difference of convergents of the BCF (2) with $n>2 m$, we arrive at the estimate [3]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{n}-f_{2 m}\right| \leq & \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\left|Q_{i(1)}^{(n)}\right|} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{i_{1}} \ldots \sum_{i_{2 m}=1}^{i_{2 m-1}} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{m-1}\left|Q_{i(2 k)}^{(n)} Q_{i(2 k+1)}^{(n)}\right| \prod_{k=1}^{m}\left|Q_{i(2 k-1)}^{(2 m)} Q_{i(2 k)}^{(2 m)}\right|} \\
& \times \sum_{i_{2 m+1}=1}^{i_{2 m}} \frac{1}{\left|Q_{i(2 m)}^{(n)} Q_{i(2 m+1)}^{(n)}\right|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using inequalities (11) and the fact that the function

$$
y=\frac{x}{b+x}, \quad b \geq 0,
$$

monotonically increases, for positive values of the variable $x$, we arrive at the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i_{2 m+1}=1}^{i_{2 m}} \frac{1}{\left|Q_{i(2 m)}^{(n)} Q_{i(2 m+1)}^{(n)}\right|} & \leq \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \frac{\sum_{i_{2 m+1=1}}^{i_{2 m}} \frac{\cos \theta}{\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{i(2 m)}\right)+\sum_{i_{2 m+1=1}}^{i_{i(2 m+1)}^{(n)} \mid} \frac{\cos \theta}{\left|Q_{i(2 m+1)}^{(n)}\right|}}}{} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \frac{\left|Q_{i(2 m)}^{(n)}\right|-\Re\left(b_{i(2 m)}\right)}{\left|Q_{i(2 m)}^{(n)}\right|} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\cos \theta}\left(1-\frac{\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{i(2 m)}\right)}{\left|b_{i(2 m)}\right|+\sum_{i_{2 m+1}=1}^{i_{2 m}} \frac{1}{\Re\left(b_{i(2 m+1)}\right)}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\cos \theta}\left(1-v_{2 m}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v_{2 m}$ is defined according to (9).
We now perform similar analysis for the sums

$$
\sum_{i_{k}=1}^{i_{k-1}} \frac{1}{\left|Q_{i(k-1)}^{(s)} Q_{i(k)}^{(s)}\right|}, \quad k=2 m, \quad 2 m-1, \ldots, 2
$$

where $s=2 m$ if $k$ is even and $s=n$ if $k$ is odd. In view of the relation

$$
\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\left|Q_{i(1)}^{(n)}\right|} \leq \frac{2 N}{\min _{i_{1}} \mathfrak{R}\left(b_{i_{1}}\right)}
$$

we get:

$$
\left|f_{n}-f_{2 m}\right| \leq \frac{N}{\min _{i_{1}} \mathfrak{R}\left(b_{i_{1}}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\cos \theta}\right)^{2 m} \prod_{k=1}^{2 m}\left(1-v_{k}\right), \quad n>2 m .
$$

In view of the triangle inequality

$$
\left|f_{n}-f_{p}\right| \leq\left|f_{n}-f_{2 s}\right|+\left|f_{p}-f_{2 s}\right|, n \leq p, s=\left[\frac{p}{2}\right],
$$

this yields estimate (10). The conditions of the theorem imply that $\left|f_{n}-f_{p}\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
The theorem is proved.
The following assertion establishes an estimate for the rate of convergence of the BCF of special form in a domain, which is a subset of the angular domain (8).

Theorem 5. Assume that the elements of an $N$-dimensional BCF (2) of special form satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{i(k)}\right)>\delta, \quad 0<\delta<1, \quad\left|\arg b_{i(k)}\right|<\theta, \quad \theta<\frac{\pi}{4}, \quad i(k) \in I . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the BCF (2) converges and the rate of convergence can be estimated as follows:

$$
\left|f_{m}-f_{N n}\right|<\frac{M_{N}}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}, \quad m \geq N n,
$$

where $M_{N}$ and $\alpha$ are positive constants independent of $n$ and $m$.

Proof. For $N=1$, in view of Theorem 1 and, in particular, relations (1), the convergents $f_{m}$ of the continued fractions whose elements satisfy conditions (12) can be estimated as follows:

$$
d_{n} \geq \frac{\delta \cos \theta}{2+\delta} \ln (1+\alpha n), \quad n \geq 1,
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\min \left\{\delta^{3} \cos \theta, \delta \cos ^{3} \theta\right\} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{m}-f_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{d_{n+1}}<\frac{1}{d_{n}}<\frac{2+\delta}{\delta \cos \theta} \frac{1}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}, \quad m \geq n . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the theorem is performed by induction on the dimension $N$ of the BCF.
Let $N=2$ and let

$$
f_{n}=b_{0}^{(1, n)}+{\underset{\mathrm{D}}{k=1}}_{n}^{\frac{1}{b_{2[k]}^{(1, n-k)}}, \quad n \geq 1,}
$$

be the $n$th convergent of the $\operatorname{BCF}(2)$ in which $i_{0}=2$ and, in view of notation (3),

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{0}^{(1, n)}=b_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{1}{b_{1[\ell]}}, \quad b_{2[k]}^{(1,0)}=b_{2[k]}, \quad b_{2[k]}^{(1, s)}=b_{2[k]}+\mathrm{D}_{\ell=1}^{s} \frac{1}{b_{2[k] 2[\ell]}}, \\
k=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad s=1,2, \ldots, n-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let

$$
\tilde{f}_{n}=b_{0}^{(1)}+\mathrm{D}_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{b_{2[k]}^{(1)}}
$$

be the $n$th convergent of the continued fraction obtained as a result of the convolution of all continued fractions
in the BCF (2). In other words, we get

$$
b_{0}^{(1)}=b_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_{1[\ell]}}, \quad b_{2[k]}^{(1)}=b_{2[k]}+\mathrm{D}_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_{2[k] 1[\ell]}}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$

These continued fractions are convergent by Theorem 3.
We now estimate the quantities $\left|f_{p}-\tilde{f}_{2 n}\right|, p \geq 2 n$. Let

$$
h_{p}=b_{0}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{b_{2[1]}^{(1)}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{b_{2[n]}^{(1)}}+\frac{1}{b_{2[n+1]}^{(1, p-n-1)}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{b_{2[p]}^{(1,0)}} .
$$

Then the triangle inequality implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{p}-\tilde{f}_{2 n}\right| \leq\left|f_{p}-h_{p}\right|+\left|h_{p}-\tilde{f}_{2 n}\right| . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find

$$
\left|f_{p}-h_{p}\right| \leq\left|b_{0}^{(1, p)}-b_{0}^{(1)}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left|b_{2[k]}^{(1, p-k)}-b_{2[k]}^{(1)}\right|}{\prod_{s=1}^{k}\left|\tilde{Q}_{2[s]}^{(p)} Q_{2[s]}^{(p)}\right|},
$$

where $\tilde{Q}_{2[s]}^{(p)}$ is the $s$ th remainder of the fraction $h_{p}$.
We now estimate the products in the denominators of this relation. If $k=2 \ell$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{s=1}^{2 \ell}\left|\tilde{Q}_{2[s]}^{(p)} Q_{2[s]}^{(p)}\right| & =\prod_{s=1}^{\ell}\left|\tilde{Q}_{2[2 s-1]}^{(p)} \tilde{Q}_{2[2 s]}^{(p)}\right|\left|Q_{2[2 s-1]}^{(p)} Q_{2[2 s]}^{(p)}\right| \\
& \geq \prod_{s=1}^{\ell}\left(\Re\left(b_{2[2 s]} b_{2[2 s-1]}\right)+1\right)^{2} \geq\left(\delta^{2} \cos 2 \theta+1\right)^{2 \ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the same time, if $k=2 \ell+1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{s=1}^{2 \ell+1}\left|\tilde{Q}_{2[s]}^{(p)} Q_{2[s]}^{(p)}\right| & =\left|\tilde{Q}_{2[1]}^{(p)} Q_{2[1]}^{(p)}\right| \prod_{s=1}^{\ell}\left|\tilde{Q}_{2[2 s]}^{(p)} \tilde{Q}_{2[2 s+1]}^{(p)}\right|\left|Q_{2[2 s]}^{(p)} Q_{2[2 s+1]}^{(p)}\right| \\
& \geq\left(\Re\left(b_{2[1]}\right)\right)^{2} \prod_{s=1}^{\ell}\left(\Re\left(b_{2[2 s]} b_{2[2 s+1]}\right)+1\right)^{2} \geq \delta^{2}\left(\delta^{2} \cos 2 \theta+1\right)^{2 \ell} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\prod_{s=1}^{k}\left|\tilde{Q}_{2[s]}^{(p)} Q_{2[s]}^{(p)}\right| \geq \delta^{1-(-1)^{k}}\left(\delta^{2} \cos 2 \theta+1\right)^{2\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]} .
$$

The quantity $\left|b_{2[k]}^{(1, p-k)}-b_{2[k]}^{(1)}\right|$ can be estimated by using Theorem 1 and, in particular, inequality (13) obtained as a consequence of this theorem:

$$
\left|b_{2[k]}^{(1, p-k)}-b_{2[k]}^{(1)}\right|<\frac{2+\delta}{\delta \cos \theta} \frac{1}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n, \quad p \geq 2 n .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{p}-h_{p}\right| & <\frac{2+\delta}{\delta \cos \theta} \frac{1}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+\frac{2}{\delta^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\delta^{2} \cos 2 \theta+1\right)^{-2 k}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{(2+\delta) A}{\delta \cos \theta} \frac{1}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
A=1+\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+\frac{2}{\delta^{4} \cos 2 \theta\left(\delta^{2} \cos 2 \theta+2\right)}
$$

We now estimate the second term on the right-hand side of inequality (14) by using Theorem 1 :

$$
\left|h_{p}-\tilde{f}_{2 n}\right| \leq\left|h_{p}-\tilde{f}_{n}\right|+\left|\tilde{f}_{2 n}-\tilde{f}_{n}\right|<\frac{2}{D_{n}},
$$

where

$$
D_{n} \geq \frac{\Re\left(b_{0}^{(1)}\right) \cos \theta}{2+\Re\left(b_{0}^{(1)}\right)} \ln \left(1+\left(\Re\left(b_{0}^{(1)}\right)\right)^{2} \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{\left|b_{0}^{(1)}\right|^{2}}\right\} \cos \theta \sum_{s=1}^{n}\left|b_{2[s]}^{(1)}\right|\right) .
$$

Estimating the last quantity, we get

$$
D_{n} \geq \frac{\delta \cos \theta}{2+\delta} \ln (1+\alpha n)
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|h_{p}-\tilde{f}_{2 n}\right|<\frac{2(2+\delta)}{\delta \cos \theta} \frac{1}{\ln (1+\alpha n)} .
$$

By using the estimates presented above, we find

$$
\left|f_{p}-\tilde{f}_{2 n}\right|<\frac{2+\delta}{\delta \cos \theta}(A+2) \frac{1}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}, \quad p \geq 2 n .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{m}-f_{2 n}\right| & \leq\left|f_{m}-\tilde{f}_{2 n}\right|+\left|f_{2 n}-\tilde{f}_{2 n}\right| \\
& <\frac{2+\delta}{\delta \cos \theta} 2(A+2) \frac{1}{\ln (1+\alpha n)} \\
& =\frac{2+\delta}{\delta \cos \theta} K_{2} \frac{1}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}=\frac{M_{2}}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}, \quad m \geq 2 n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
M_{2}=\frac{2+\delta}{\delta \cos \theta} K_{2}, \quad K_{2}=2(A+2) .
$$

Assume that, all $N$-dimensional BCF of special form (2) with $i_{0}=N$, where $N \leq r-1$, whose elements satisfy condition (12) can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{m}-f_{(r-1) n}\right|<\frac{M_{r-1}}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}, \quad m \geq(r-1) n, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{r-1}=\frac{2+\delta}{\delta \cos \theta} K_{r-1}, \quad K_{r-1}=4\left(K_{r-2} A+1\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove the validity of estimate (15) for $N=r$. Consider the $r$-dimensional BCF of special form (2) with $i_{0}=r$. Its $n$th convergent can be represented in the form

$$
f_{n}=b_{0}^{(r-1, n)}+\stackrel{\mathrm{D}}{k=1}_{n}^{\frac{1}{b_{r[k]}^{(r-1, n-k)}}, \quad n \geq 1, ~}
$$

where

$$
b_{0}^{(r-1, n)}=b_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{\ell=1}^{n} \sum_{i_{\ell}=1}^{i_{\ell-1}} \frac{1}{b_{i(\ell)}}, \quad b_{r[k]}^{(r-1, s)}=b_{r[k]}+\mathrm{D}_{\ell=1}^{s} \sum_{i_{\ell}=1}^{i_{\ell-1}} \frac{1}{b_{r[k] i(\ell)}}
$$

are the $s$ th convergents of all $(r-1)$-dimensional BCF appearing in the BCF $(2) ; k=1,2, \ldots, n-1, s=1,2, \ldots, n$, $i_{0}=r-1$.

By

$$
\hat{f}_{n}=b_{0}^{(r-1)}+\mathrm{D}_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{b_{r[k]}^{(r-1)}},
$$

we denote the $n$th convergent of the continued fraction formed as a result of the convolution of all $(r-1)$-dimensional BCF in the BCF (2), i.e.,

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{0}^{(r-1)}=b_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{\ell=1}^{n} \sum_{i_{\ell}=1}^{i_{\ell-1}} \frac{1}{b_{i(\ell)}}, \quad b_{r[k]}^{(r-1)}=b_{r[k]}+\mathrm{D}_{\ell=1}^{s} \sum_{i_{\ell}=1}^{i_{\ell-1}} \frac{1}{b_{r[k] i(\ell)}}, \\
i_{0}=r-1, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{gathered}
$$

This convolution can be performed by virtue of Theorem 3.
We now estimate the modulus of the difference $\left|f_{p}-\hat{f}_{r n}\right|, p \geq r n$ :

$$
\left|f_{p}-\hat{f}_{r n}\right| \leq\left|f_{p}-g_{p}\right|+\left|g_{p}-\hat{f}_{r n}\right|,
$$

where

$$
g_{p}=b_{0}^{(r-1)}+\frac{1}{b_{r[1]}^{(r-1)}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{b_{r[(r-1) n]}^{(r-1)}}+\frac{1}{b_{r[(r-1) n+1]}^{(r-1, p-(r-1) n-1)}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{b_{r[p]}^{(r-1,0)}} .
$$

For the first term, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{p}-g_{p}\right| \leq\left|b_{0}^{(r-1, p)}-b_{0}^{(r-1)}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{(r-1) n} \frac{\left|b_{r[k]}^{(r-1, p-k)}-b_{r[k]}^{(r-1)}\right|}{\prod_{s=1}^{k}\left|\hat{Q}_{r[s]}^{(p)} Q_{r[s]}^{(p)}\right|}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{Q}_{r[s]}^{(p)}$ is the sth remainder of the fraction $g_{p}$.
Estimating the products in the denominators of (17) by using the reasoning similar to the reasoning used for $N=2$, we get

$$
\prod_{s=1}^{k}\left|\hat{Q}_{r[s]}^{(p)} Q_{r[s]}^{(p)}\right| \geq \delta^{1-(-1)^{k}}\left(\delta^{2} \cos 2 \theta+1\right)^{2\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]} .
$$

We now estimate the numerators in (17). It follows from inequality (15) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|b_{r[k]}^{(r-1, p-k)}-b_{r[k]}^{(r-1)}\right| & \leq\left|b_{r[k]}^{(r-1, p-k)}-b_{r[k]}^{(r-1, r-1)}\right|+\left|b_{r[k]}^{(r-1)}-b_{r[k]}^{(r-1, r-1)}\right| \\
& <\frac{2 M_{r-1}}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}, \quad k=0, \ldots,(r-1) n, \quad p \geq r n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left|f_{p}-g_{p}\right|<\frac{2 M_{r-1}}{\ln (1+\alpha n)} A .
$$

Estimating the quantity $\left|g_{p}-\hat{f}_{r n}\right|$, by virtue of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$
\left|g_{p}-\hat{f}_{r n}\right| \leq\left|g_{p}-\hat{f}_{(r-1) n}\right|+\left|\hat{f}_{r n}-\hat{f}_{(r-1) n}\right|<\frac{2(2+\delta)}{\delta \cos \theta} \frac{1}{\ln (1+\alpha n)} .
$$

The estimates presented above and relations (16) imply that

$$
\left|f_{p}-\hat{f}_{r n}\right|<\frac{(2+\delta)}{\delta \cos \theta}\left(2 A K_{r-1}+2\right) \frac{1}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}, \quad p \geq r n .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{m}-f_{r n}\right| & \leq\left|f_{m}-\hat{f}_{r n}\right|+\left|f_{r n}-\hat{f}_{r n}\right| \\
& <\frac{(2+\delta)}{\delta \cos \theta} 4\left(A K_{r-1}+1\right) \frac{1}{\ln (1+\alpha n)} \\
& =\frac{(2+\delta)}{\delta \cos \theta} K_{r} \frac{1}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}=\frac{M_{r}}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}, \quad m \geq r n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
M_{r}=\frac{2+\delta}{\delta \cos } K_{r}, \quad K_{r}=4\left(A K_{r-1}+1\right)
$$

Hence, the convergents $f_{k}$ of the $N$-dimensional BCF (2), where $N$ is an arbitrary fixed natural number, satisfy the estimate

$$
\left|f_{m}-f_{N n}\right|<\frac{M_{N}}{\ln (1+\alpha n)}, \quad m \geq N n
$$

where $M_{N}=\frac{2+\delta}{\delta \cos \theta} K_{N}, N \geq 1, K_{1}=1, K_{2}=2(A+2), K_{N}=4\left(K_{N-1} A+1\right)$, and $N \geq 3$.
The theorem is proved.
Remark 1. The assertion of the theorem remains valid if conditions (12) are replaced by the conditions
(a) $\mathfrak{R}\left(b_{i(k)}\right)>0$;
(b) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathfrak{J}\left(b_{i(2 \ell)}\right)>0, \\ \mathfrak{J}\left(b_{i(2 \ell+1)}\right)<0,\end{array}\right.$ or $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathfrak{J}\left(b_{i(2 \ell)}\right)<0, \\ \mathfrak{J}\left(b_{i(2 \ell+1)}\right)>0,\end{array} \quad \ell=1,2, \ldots\right.$.
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